# Investigating environmental effects on galaxy evolution in the high-redshift Universe

# Antonello Calabrò





In collaboration with L. Pentericci, B. Garilli + team VANDELS and GAEA

INAF-IASF 15 December 2021

### The Universe seen at different scales



#### **COSMOLOGICAL PRINCIPLE** :

The Universe is isotropic and homogeneous on very large ~ 1Gpc scales (assumption of the general relativity, Einstein 1917)

#### **COSMIC WEB**:

Intricate, multi-scale, inter-connected network of galaxies, intergalactic gas, and dark matter

- It is the fundamental spatial organization of matter on scales of 1-100 Mpc
- formed of dense compact clusters, long elongated filaments, sheetlike walls surrounding near-empty voids (Bond et al. 1996)

### The history of structure formation



Planck-collaboration

The large-scale distribution of galaxies results from the gravitational evolution and hierarchical clustering of the density fluctuations imprinted on dark matter during inflation.



Tegmark +2004

# Does the cosmic web influence galaxy evolution ?

• Morphology - density relation (Dressler +1980)

ULATION

FRACTION

-1.0

- SFR density relation (Kauffmann +2004)
- galaxies in clusters (i.e., overdense regions) evolve faster than in voids (Thomas +2005)

- also the cosmic web impacts on galaxy properties:
- most massive galaxies are statistically closer to their neighbouring filaments.
- at fixed stellar mass, passive galaxies are found closer to their filament, while star-forming galaxies lie further away



#### Showcase examples of environmental effects in the local Universe



Examples of RAM-pressure stripping in the local Universe



Environmental processes on large (~ Mpc) scales :

- ram pressure stripping (e.g., Abadi+99, Kapferer+09, Sun+10, Ebeling+13)
- harassment (e.g., Moore+96, Bialas+15)
- starvation/strangulation (e.g., Peng+15)
- cosmological starvation (e.g., Dekel +09, Aragon-Calvo +19)

#### The metallicity is a key parameter to test the galaxy-environment interaction



Stellar mass – metallicity relation (MZR) sensitive to :

- History of star-formation
- Inflows from the cosmic web
- Stellar and AGN driven outflows
- RAM pressure stripping, harassment, starvation



#### SATELLITE – CENTRAL galaxies

both the ionized gas and the stars of satellites are more metal-rich than of equally massive centrals (e.g., Pasquali +10, Shimakawa +15, Bahe +16, Trussler +21)

#### stellar Z 0 log(Z/Z<sub>o</sub>) -0.5 Centrals Satellites - 1 9 9.5 10 10.5 11 $\log[M_*/(h^{-2}M_{\odot})]$ Pasquali +2010

LOWER – HIGHER DENSITY FIELD

star-forming satellites at different stellar masses form a tight sequence in the average overdensity – gas metallicity plane (e.g., Peng & Maiolino +14, Maier +19)



Star-forming galaxies show no environmental effects on **stellar** metallicity, while passive and green valley satellites do



# High-redshift studies of environmental effects

- Galaxy clusters are the earliest fingerprint of galaxy formation and evolution (Kravtsov & Borgani 12)
- High-density regions are crucial to investigate the physical processes responsible for the triggering and suppression of star formation and black hole activity

#### **RECENT RESULT from the MOSDEF survey :**

Reversal of the MZR - environmental density dependence at  $z\sim 2$ 



#### Gas-phase metallicity

#### Different observational results :

1) Z<sub>gas</sub> low-mass cluster galaxies >
Z<sub>gas</sub> field galaxies (e.g., Kulas +13,
Maier +19)
The offset of the mass-metallicity relation in dense
environment becomes larger at higher redshifts
(Shimakawa +15)

2) no significant environmental dependence at  $z \sim 2$  (e.g., Tran +15, Kacprzak +15, Namiki +19)

**3)**  $Z_{gas}$  cluster galaxies <  $Z_{gas}$  field (e.g., Valentino +15)

The effects on the stellar metallicity at high-z are still unknown

# VANDELS : the deepest spectroscopic large survey at z > 2

- ultradeep optical spectroscopic survey of ~ 2000 galaxies with the VIMOS spectrograph at the ESO-VLT
- P.I. : L.Pentericci and R.Mc Lure
- covering an area of 0.2 deg<sup>2</sup>, centered on the CANDELS- CDFS and UDS fields
- the main targets are star-forming galaxies at redshift
   2 < z < 5 (i<sub>mag</sub> < 27.5 or 26 in wide fields)</li>
- 20-80 hours of integration per source → high S/N spectra (above 7 for 80% of the sources)
- spectral coverage: 4900 Å <  $\lambda$  < 9800 Å, with resolving power R = 580 ( $\Delta \lambda_{res} \simeq 2.8$  Å in rest-frame)
- $z_{spec}$  accuracy  $\simeq 150$  km/s



#### The VANDELS ESO public spectroscopic survey:

final Data Release of 2087 spectra and spectroscopic measurements

B. Garilli and VANDELS team , 2021

## Metallicity calibration with FUV stellar photospheric absorption lines

**Representative stacked spectrum VANDELS** 2.00 blend Nill, flux (Pettini+1999, <sup>-</sup>lux density F $_{\lambda}$  [arbitrary units] 1.75 FelV, NIV, SilV Quider+2009,  $1\sigma$  error (Vidal-Garcia+2017) Lyα ..50 Somm<mark>a</mark>riva+2012) Hell .25 CIII 1.00 0.75 Fell SilV+OIV All 0.50 Sill+CIVdb [OI]db 0 -CII 0.25 ~ 0.00 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000  $\lambda_{rest}$  [Angstrom]

- probing galaxy properties with UV absorption lines (e.g., Fanelli+92, Rix+04, Leitherer+11)
- **1501** and **1719** Å absorption features depend on metallicity only ; they are not affected by variations of age, dust, IMF, nebular continuum, and ISM absorption contamination (Vidal-Garcia et al. 2017)

### Metallicity calibration with FUV stellar photospheric absorption lines



calibration based on Starburst 99

(S99) spectra (Leitherer+2010), converted to VANDELS resolution, at  $Z_* = 0.05, 0.2, 0.4, 1 \text{ and } 2.5 \text{ x } Z_{\odot}$ 



- the final metallicity is the median from the two indices
- metallicity tracing the iron abundance in young (O-B) stars

### Sample selection and spectral stacks

- spectroscopic redshift  $2 < z_{spec} < 5$ , with quality flag 3 or 4 ( > 95 % probability to be correct )
- final sample : 732 galaxies in total (CDFS + UDS), 580 with  $\beta$  slope
- representative of the star-forming Main Sequence at  $z \sim 3$









### The stellar and gas-phase MZR of VANDELS star-forming galaxies



٠

- MZR at z ~ 3 is ~ 0.5 dex lower than the local relation (N.B. they trace slightly different stellar populations)
- no significant evolution between z = 2 and 5

 $\alpha$  enhancement O/Fe  $\simeq$  (2.54 ± 0.38) ×

 $(O/Fe)_{\odot}$  independent on M\*

11.0

9.0

8.5

-7.5

### Comparison to model predictions :



- hydrodynamical simulations and semianalytic models (SAM) predict correctly the observed slope of the MZR
- ... but there is still a normalization offset



14

### VANDELS: a larger view in the UDS and CDFS fields

#### CDFS: Pointings 3+4



#### UDS: Pointings 3+4



B. Garilli and VANDELS team, 2021

#### Protocluster and overdensity structure definition 1



Guaita et al. 2020







### A weak environmental effect on the stellar phase MZR



Calabro et al. 2022 (submitted to A&A)

• low mass galaxies  $(M_* < 10^{9.5} M_{sun})$  in high-density regions are more metal poor than isolated systems - galaxies inside the densest structures and protocluster cores are more metal poor compared to galaxies in the field with the same M\_\*, by ~ 0.2 dex (the significance is at 1  $\sigma$  level)



Environmental effects are likely present, but only in the vicinity of the densest overdensity cores

### Environmental dependence of the gas - phase MZR



Calabro et al. 2022 (submitted)

- galaxies inside the overdensity structures also have a lower gasphase metallicity compared to the field, at  $M_* \sim 10^{9.6} M_{sun}$
- $\Delta \log_{10} Z_{gas} = 0.1$  dex, with a significance of 2  $\sigma$

### Possible physical explanations of the environmental dependence



The environmental dependence is not driven by selection effects: galaxies in overdensities and in the field have similar SFR and UV-slope

more efficient inflows of metal-poor gas inside overdensities (see also Chartab +2021)

Other possible explanations : more powerful stellar and AGN feedback depriving galaxies from their metals

harassment, RAM pressure stripping, mergers

## Comparison with SAMs and hydrodynamical simulations 1

The Galaxy Evolution and Assembly semi-analytic model (GAEA) (De Lucia +2014, Hirschmann +2016, Fontanot +2017, Fontanot +2021)

mock catalog ( $sSFR > 0.1 Gyr^{-1}$ )





- No environmental effect for the global starforming galaxy sample
- Central galaxies in more massive haloes are more metal-poor



• The physics of satellites inside clusters might be reconsidered to take into account environmental processes

### Comparison with SAMs and hydrodynamical simulations 2

#### EAGLE simulations

- The largest EAGLE simulation (Ref-L100N1504) has a comoving cubic volume with size 100 Mpc.
- Mass resolution of 9.7  $\times$  10  $^{6}\,M_{sun}$  for dark matter (inclusion of stellar and AGN feedback).

#### Illustris – TNG 300 cMpc

• mass resolution elements  $5.9 \ge 10^7$ ,  $1.1 \ge 10^7$ M<sub>sun</sub> for DM and gas, respectively (Springel +18, Nelson +18, Pillepich +18)



no significant environmental effects

#### Summary and conclusions

- a stellar mass stellar metallicity relation (MZR) is in place already from z  $\sim$  4
- it is  $\sim 0.5$  dex lower than the local MZR
- galaxies at z ~ 3 are alpha-enhanced (O/Fe  $\simeq$  (2.54  $\pm$  0.38)  $\times$  (O/Fe) $_{\odot}$ )
- Semi-analytic models and hydrodynamical simulations predict the observed slope of the MZR, but they fail to reproduce the correct normalization
- no environmental dependence of the MZR as a function of galaxy density
- we find a weak environmental effect only closer to the overdensity cores: galaxies in protoclusters are on average more metal poor compared to the field, by 0.2 dex (1  $\sigma$  significance) for the stellar-phase, and by 0.1 dex (2  $\sigma$  significance) for the gas-phase
- SAMs and hydrodynamical simulations are in tension with observations, as they do not predict significant environmental effects