ULX jets, outflows and bubbles

Roberto Soria (UCAS/NAOC)

Also thanks to:

Ryan Urquhart (Michigan State University) James Miller-Jones (ICRAR-Curtin) Manfred Pakull (Strasbourg Observatory) Christian Motch (Strasbourg Observatory) Jifeng Liu (NAOC)





1. Kinetic and radiative power from accretion

2. Signature of outflows in the photon output

3. Interaction of outflows with surrounding gas

4. Difference between BH and NS outflows?





M87 jet (HST image; Perlman et al 2001)





Herbig-Haro source 34 in Orion (HST [SII] image; Antoniucci et al 2014)





Jet produced at all scales (compact object + accretion) Jet velocity ~ escape velocity from the compact object

Protostars (Herbig Haro objects) White dwarfs Neutron stars Stellar-mass BHs Gamma-ray bursts Supermassive BHs in: Tidal disruption events AGN Quasars

In this talk I will focus on the super-critical regime



Eddington limit

luminosity at which radiation pressure force = gravitational force

Critical mass accretion rate (mdot = 1)

rate at which $L = L_{Edd}$ for standard efficient accretion

Super-critical accretion

when accretion rate mdot > 1

may result in

- Super-Eddington luminosity
- Jets, outflows
- Inefficient accretion (photon trapping)



1. Inflow structure near ISCO

- Temperature, geometry, wind emission & abs lines
- Difference between magnetic/non-magnetic accretors

2. Jets in the super-Eddington regime

- Powered by accretion or by BH spin?
- Steady or flaring?
- Co-existing with slower, denser winds?

3. Jets/ISM interaction

ULX bubbles, hot spots, optical nebular lines



MHD simulations of accreting stellar-mass BHs



No jets



Jets in the super-critical regime?

Theoretical models always predict jets



(Narayan, Sadowski & Soria 2017, MNRAS)



Observations still inconclusive

Evidence of jets in some sources but not in others Often difficult to prove super-critical accretion

Testing jet models is important for understanding

- Early growth of nuclear BHs
- Feedback and removal of gas from galaxies
- BH mass range in X-ray binaries
- Tidal disruption events

Most important parameters remain untested $P_{Jet} / L_X = P_{Jet} / (Mdot c^2)$

Jet power can be > radiative power



Sample of jet-dominated blazars (Ghisellini et al 2014)



Jet power can be > radiative power



But blazars are mostly sub-Eddington (Ghisellini et al 2014)

What happens in the super-Eddington regime?



How to test jets in the super-Edd regime?

- Some tidal disruption events
- Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs)
 = highest luminosity class of X-ray binaries





Two possible ways to detect a jet near its launching radius

1. Core (flat-spectrum) radio emission

Standard technique in Galactic X-ray binaries Scaling relations between L_R and L_X

2. Relativistic Doppler-shifted (optical/X-ray) lines Discovery of jets in Galactic BH SS433 and in M81 ULX



How to detect jets near a BH or NS

Core radio emission



Steady jets too faint to be detected in other galaxies S_{5GHz} ~ 1 µJy at 5 Mpc → requires SKA



How to detect jets near a BH or NS

Core radio emission



Flaring jets can be detected in other galaxies $S_{5GHz} \sim 100 \mu$ Jy at 5 Mpc \longrightarrow VLA is enough



Radio emission from flaring ULX jets



(Falcke & Biermann 1996 + Cseh et al 2015)



J2000 Declination

Radio emission from flaring ULX jets



 $L_R \sim 1 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg/s}$ $P_J \sim 2 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg/s}$ $L_X \sim 4 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg/s}$

Steep radio spectrum

Flaring jet in Holmberg II X-1 (Cseh et al 2014,2015)











(Pinto et al 2017)



Flux (photons

Outflow signatures on ULX spectra

Emission lines from slow-moving gas v ~ 0.01—0.1 c

Absorption lines from fast-moving gas v ~ 0.1—0.2 c







Relativistic jet lines at v = 0.17c in M81 ULS (Liu et al 2015)



Jet signature in the pulsar ULX P13





Jet signature in the pulsar ULX P13





Jet signature in the pulsar ULX P13





ULX unification model





$R_{bb} \sim (T_{bb})^{-2}$ as expected from a ULS photosphere NGC4038/39 10^{6} NGC300 NGC4631 NGC247 M101 M81 10⁵ H M51 Radius (km) 10⁴ (Urquhart & Soria 2016; Soria & Kong 2016) 10³ 50 100 200 Temperature (eV)





PART 1

Evidence of jets/winds near the compact object (d ~ 10—10,000 km)

Next: PART 2

Evidence of jets/winds at large distances (d ~ 100 pc)



Standard bubble theory (Weaver et al 1977)





Overpressured cocoon (Begelman & Cioffi 1989)









 $P_J \sim 0.1 \text{ Mdot } c^2 \sim \text{few } 10^{39} \text{ erg/s}$

Duration of super-critical phase t ~ few 10⁵ yr

Total energy injected into the ISM $E \sim P_J t \sim 10^{52} erg$

ULX jet bubbles ~ 10 x more energetic than SNRs



ULX bubbles (Pakull & Mirioni 2001)





Galactic ULX bubble SS433



Some jets are easy to identify S26 in NGC 7793

ATCA 5.5 GHz

diameter ~ 270 pc

HST

Jet-inflated bubble with hot spots $P_J \sim 10^{40} \text{ erg/s} > (\text{apparent}) L_X$ L_{5GHz} (bubble) $\sim 10^{35} \text{ erg/s}$

Chandra

Pakull, Soria & Motch (2010, Nature) Soria et al (2010, MNRAS)


S26 microquasar in NGC 7793





S26 microquasar in NGC 7793



HST close-up view of the southern lobe $H\alpha$ filter



Radio hot spot

X-ray hot spot







HST WFC3 UVI bands NGC 5585 X-1



(Soria, Motch & Pakull in prep)



HST WFC3 H α

NGC 5585 X-1

4'' = 150 pc

(Soria, Motch & Pakull in prep)



VLA 1.5 GHz

NGC 5585 X-1





VLA 1.5 GHz

NGC 5585 X-1





Similar to SNRs but larger (100—300 pc) and older Shock velocity ~ line FWHM ~ 150—250 km/s

Two complementary techniques (M W Pakull et al)

a) Measure bubble size (*R*) and expansion velocity (*V*) $\longrightarrow P_J \sim nR^2V^3$

b) Measure flux of diagnostic lines (H α , H β , [Fe II] 1.64 μ m) $\longrightarrow P_J$ scales with optical/IR line flux



$H\beta$ and [Fe II] are good proxies for jet power



(Soria et al 2014, using Mappings III, Allen et al 2008)

[Fe II] traces gas with $n_e \sim 10^3$ —10⁵ cm⁻³, $T_e \sim 6,000$ —15,000 K

NGC 300 ESO 2.2m

NGC 300 S10





NGC 300 S10

Jet with multiple X-ray knots

9.0 GHz

ATCA

(Urquhart, Soria, Pakull et al 2019)







SNR or microquasar bubble?

(Bruursema et al 2014)



MF16 in NGC 6946

Strongest [Fe II] source in NGC 6946 is in fact a microquasar jet

VLA radio contours HST optical image

(Beuchert et al in prep.)







M83 MQ1: jet with super-Eddington power







(Soria, Long, Blair et al 2019 submitted)



- ✓ Several ULXs with jets ($P_{kin} \sim P_{rad} > 10^{39}$ erg/s)
- Several ULXs with fast and/or dense outflows
- \checkmark Typical duration of the super-critical phase ~ 10⁵ yr
- Other bright ULXs have no evidence of jets
- Several ULXs have high inclination but hard spectra
- Hard and soft ULXs have similar luminosity range

Problems for ULX unification model



Problems with ULX unification model



Some ULXs have high inclination but hard X-ray spectra

Some ULXs have stronger jets/outflows at same accretion rate



Strongly magnetized vs weakly-magnetized accretion

- Weaker jets in NSs for same accretion power?
- Different jet launching mechanism in BHs and NSs?
- Can NSs exceed Edd luminosity better than BHs?



First ULX bubble in a NS (Belfiore et al 2019)





Accretion onto NSs

Strong magnetic field (for mdot > 1, $B > 10^{12} G$)

Polar accretion columns No disk outflows/funnel?

Weak magnetic field (for mdot > 1, B <~ 10¹² G)

Disk accretion, strong outflows BH = NS

Weak-field NSs similar to BHs

-6 -5 -4 -3-6 -5 -4 -3NS BH 40 40 z/r_s 30 $z/r_{\rm S}$ 30 20 20 10 10 Gas density 0 0 30 40 30 40 10 20 10 20 $R/r_{\rm S}$ $R/r_{\rm S}$ (Ohsuga 2007) v_r / c (NS) v_r / c (BH) -0.2-0.2 0.2 0.2 0 NS BH 40 40 z/r_{s}_{30} z/r₃₀ 20 20 10 10 **Outflow speed** 0 0 30 40 20 30 10 20 10 40 $R/r_{\rm S}$ $R/r_{\rm S}$

 $\log \rho$ (BH)

 $\log \rho$ (NS)



No disk outflow if inner disk is missing



Magnetospheric radius > outflow launching radius $B_{10} > 0.5 \dot{m}^{9/4}$ $L_X \approx \dot{m} \left(2 \times 10^{38}\right) \text{ erg/s}$



No disk outflow if inner disk is missing



Magnetospheric radius > outflow launching radius

NSs with B ~ 10¹³—10¹⁴ G can be hard-state ULXs



Radio loud and radio quiet bubbles



Similar huge bubbles for NGC 1313 X-2 and NGC 5585 X-1 Similar Ha luminosity ~ 10^{37} erg/s NGC 5585 X-1 at least 100 times more radio luminous



Radio luminosity determined by many physical parameters (not a simple function of jet power)

$$L_{1.4} \approx 6.7 \times 10^{24} \left(\frac{\epsilon_e}{0.01}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_b^u}{0.01}\right)^{0.8} R_2^3 v_2^{3.6} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$$
$$L_{1.4} \approx 6.2 \times 10^{23} \left(\frac{\epsilon_e}{0.01}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon_b^u}{0.01}\right)^{0.8} \left(\frac{P_{39}}{n_0}\right)^{1.32} t_5^{0.36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$$

Radio SNRs fade with age, ULX radio bubbles get brighter

SNRs $L_{1.4} \propto t^{-0.96}$ $L_{1.4} \propto R^{-2.4}$

ULX bubbles $L_{1.4} \propto t^{0.36}$ $L_{1.4} \propto R^{0.6}$



NGC1313 X-2: another NS bubble?





(Sathyaprakash et al 2019)



Conclusions

Jets/outflows are important in super-critical regime (ULX – quasar comparisons)



Both NS and BH ULXs produce strong outflows

Difference between strongly and weakly magnetized NSs? Jet power = accretion power or Blandford-Znajek?

Are NS bubbles less radio luminous?



NGC 5408 X-2: BH + LBV star?





NGC 5408 X-2: BH + LBV star?



Very broad (HWZI ~ 5000 km/s) and very bright (EW ~ 2000 Ang) H α emission Strong photo-ionized He II 4686

Outflow from super-Eddington BH in a Common Envelope? (Pakull et al in prep)





SS433: the most powerful BH in our Galaxy?





SS433: the most powerful BH in our Galaxy?



Very Long Baseline Interferometry images of the precessing jet


Galactic ULX bubble SS433







Amy Mioduszewski Michael Rupen Craig Walker Greg Taylor

LILLE TELEVILE TELEVILETETE TELEVILETETETE



SS433: the most powerful BH in our Galaxy?





SS433: the most powerful BH in our Galaxy?

