Nicola Sartore

DETECTING ISOLATED NEUTRON STARS AND BLACK HOLES WITH MICROLENSING

Milano, 22/09/2011



ISOLATED NEUTRON STARS: A garden variety of observational properties: radio/gamma-ray pulsars, XDINS, RRAT, magnetars, CCO

 $M \sim 1.4 M_{sun}$ $P \sim 0.02 - 10 s;$ $B \sim 10^{11} - 10^{15} G$ $v \sim 10^2 - 10^3 \text{ km/s}$ t < 107 years << t_{MW} ; ~ 10^3 detected, ~ 10^5 detecable (e.g. SKA);

CC SN rate + t_{MW} Rotational losses, Thermal cooling

~ $10^8 - 10^9$ old, dead objects



ISOLATED BLACK HOLES: nomen omen

No constraints on isolated objects;

A handful of candidates from microlensing observations (Bennett et al. 2002); Hints on mass distribution from X-ray binaries $(M_{BH} \sim 4 - 15 M_{sun}, Agol \& Kamionkowski, 2002);$ From IMF (Salpeter (1955), Kroupa, (2001)): N_{BH} ~ 1/10 N_{NS}

• Ostriker et al. (1970): spherical accretion of ISM as recycling mechanism of dead pulsars;

Bondi-Hoyle-Littleton theory



• More refined models in the 1990s predicted ~ 10² - 10³ accreting neutron stars detectable by the ROSAT satellite (Treves & Colpi 1991, Blaes & Madau 1993, Zane et al. 1995);

• Similar models proposed for recycling of isolated balck holes (Campana & Pardi 1993, Agol & Kamionkowski 2002, Beskin & Karpov 2005, Mapelli et al. 2006);

L ~ $10^{28} - 10^{33}$ erg/s larger uncertainties on parameters!

The harsh truth: no succesful candidate accretors found to date. The few sources discovered by ROSAT are "young" (t ~ $10^5 - 10^6$ yr) isolated cooling neutron stars (e.g. Turolla 2009)

Possible reasons for the absence of accreting neutron stars/black holes (Treves et al. 2000)

- Large spatial velocities, v ~ 10² 10³ km/s (Hobbs et al. 2005; Gualandris et al. 2005)
- Interaction between magnetic field (NS) and accretion flow (Toropina et al. 2003, Perna et al. 2003);

$$r_{A} = \left(\frac{B^{2}R^{6}}{\sqrt{2GM\dot{M}}}\right)^{2/7} > r_{accr} = \frac{2GM}{v^{2}} \qquad (georotator)$$

$$U_{G} = \frac{GMm_{p}n}{r} > U_{B} = \left(\frac{B^{2}}{8\pi}\right)\left(\frac{R^{6}}{r_{c}^{6}}\right)\left(\frac{r_{c}^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \qquad (ejector)$$

$$\left(\frac{GM}{r_{A}^{2}}\right) \gtrsim \left(\frac{2\pi}{P}\right)^{2}r_{A} \qquad (propeller)$$

• Low emission efficiency (BH)

A step back: dynamics of isolated neutron stars in order to constrain their phase-space distribution

PSYCO

(Population SYnthesis of Compact Objects)

(Sartore et al. 2010, A&A 510, A23)

- I Spatial distribution of progenitors
- II Distribution of birth velocities
- III Model for Galactic potential

Initial conditions assigned with Monte Carlo procedures

Orbit integration for 150 000 simulated INS

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = v_R,$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = v_z,$$

$$\frac{dv_R}{dt} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial R} + \frac{j_z^2}{R^3},$$

$$\frac{dv_z}{dt} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t},$$

4th order Runge-Kutta algorithm with adaptive stepsize

Accuracy of integrations based on energy conservation: $\delta E/E < 10^{-6}$

Escape fraction (unbound objects): $f_{esc} \sim 0.2 - 0.3$

Only ~ 5 - 10% of INS reside in the disk. Still 100+ old INSs for each detectable young INS;

Local density of INS: $n_{INS} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ (nearest INS @ ~ 10 pc)

```
Mean velocity (LSR): v_{mean} \sim 150 - 200 \text{ km/s}
```

```
Accretion luminosity: L_{accr} < \sim 10^{28} erg /s
```

Soft X-ray flux: $f_X < \sim 10^{-13}$ erg /s/cm² (below ROSAT limit)

INS accumulate towards the Galactic bulge



The microlensing way

Massive objects can deflect and amplify the light of background sources (Einstein, 1936)



Microlensing as a method to probe the distribution of (dark) matter along the line-of-sight (Packzynski, 1986)

♣

Surveys: MACHO (Alcock et al. 1993), OGLE (Udalski et al. 1994), EROS (Auburg et al. 1993), MOA (Abe et al. 1997)

Microlensing theory in pills

Einstein radius $R_E = 2 \left[\frac{G M}{c^2} \frac{D_l (D_s - D_l)}{D_s} \right]^{1/2}$

Einstein time-scale

$$t_E = \frac{R_E}{v_{\perp}} = \frac{2}{v_{\perp}} \left[\frac{G M}{c^2} \frac{D_l (D_s - D_l)}{D_s} \right]^{1/2}$$

Amplification

$$A(t) = \frac{u(t) + 2}{u(t)\sqrt{u(t)^2 + 4}}$$



Source: Moniez (2010)

Optical depth

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma = \frac{n_l(D_l)\,\mathrm{d}^3 D_l}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{n_s(D_s)\,D_s^2\,\mathrm{d}D_s}{I} f(\mathbf{v}_\perp)\,\mathrm{d}^2 v_\perp$$



$$\mathrm{d}N_{ev} = N_{obs}T_{obs}\mathrm{d}\Gamma$$



Courtesy of P. Jetzer

What surveys tell us

Optical depth towards the Galactic bulge:

Theory: $\tau \sim 10^{-6}$ (Paczynski 1991, Griest 1991) Surveys: $\tau \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ (Popowski et al. 2005, Hamadache et al. 2005, Sumi et al. 2006) Self-lesing of bulge stars dominates, $t_E \sim 10 - 20$ days;

Number of events detected: N_{ev} ~ 5 x 10³, ~ 500/600 events/year

Excess of long duration events (Bennett et al. 2002/Popowski et al. 2005);



Courtesy of P. Jetzer

Updated model

(Sartore & Treves 2010, A&A 523, A33)

Distribution of lens and source stars (and NS/BH progenitors)

Galactic (triaxial) bulge: (Stanek et al. 1997) $\rho_B(x, y, z) = \rho_B \exp(-r), \quad r = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^2}$ Velocity dispersion, $\sigma_v = 100 \text{ km/s}$ Age = 10 Gyr (burst of SF)

Exponential disk: (Robin et al. 2003) $\rho_{D_i}(R, z) = \frac{M_{D_i}}{4\pi (L_i^2 - L_h^2) z_h} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{R}{L_i}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{R}{L_h}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{|z|}{H_i}\right)$

Velocity dispersion, $\sigma_v = 25$ km/s

o < Age < 10 Gyr (constant SFR)

Gravitational potential from approximation of density profiles of MN disks *caveat*: bulge potential axisymmetric!

Velocity distribution: same for NS and BH $\sigma_v = 265 \text{ km/s}$ (Hobbs et al. 2005)

Stellar populations: brown dwarfs (BD), main sequence (MS), white dwarfs (WD), neutron stars (NS), black holes (BH)

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}m} \propto m^{-\alpha}, \ \alpha = 0.3, \ 0.03 \le m < 0.08$ Mass function: $m = M/M_{sup}$ (Kroupa 2001) $\alpha = 1.3$, $0.08 \le m < 0.5$ $\alpha = 2.3, \quad 0.50 \le m < 100.$ MS(+BD), m < 1, $m_{MS+BD} = 0.3$ Average mass: 1. WD, 1 < m < 8, $m_{WD} = 0.6$ 2. NS, 8 < m < 40, $m_{NS} = 1.4$ 3. BH, m > 40, $m_{BH} = 10$ 4. BD MS WD NS BH number fraction 0.2720.6530.0650.0040.0004 mass fraction 0.0590.744 0.1570.0230.016

Example: the Baade's Window (l=1°, b=-3°.9)

 $\tau_{tot} = 0.97 \times 10^{-6}$ $\tau_{NS} = 0.019 \times \tau_{tot}$ $\tau_{BH} = 0.013 \times \tau_{tot}$



Lower than predictions of standard models (no kicks)



Difference in phase-space distribution

Distribution of event time-scales





Overall ~ 5x increase of the number of events from NS/BH

Fraction on NS-related events from ~ 1% to ~ 5%Fraction on BH-related events from ~ 0.2% to ~ 1%

NS/BH contribute for ~ 40% of long duration (> 100 days) events!

Correlation of ML events with X-ray catalogs

(Sartore & Treves 2011, A&A, submitted)

ML event catalogs:

- 1. OGLE: 4117 events, 177 with t_E > 100 days
- 2. MACHO: 654 events, 38 with $t_E > 100$ days
- 3. MOA: 2622 events, 268 with t_E > 100 days

X-ray source catalogs:

- 1. 2XMM Data Release 3: 191870 sources
- 2. Chandra Source Catalog v1.1: 106586 sources

No constraints on spectrum and variability

➡

Correlation based on positional coincidence (within errors) alone;

A candidate X-ray counterpart: 2XMM J180540.5-273427

Counts: ~ 312 photons
$f_X [0.2 - 10 \text{ keV}]: \sim 3.34 \text{ x } 10^{-14} \text{ erg/s/cm}^{-2}$
Pos. error: ~ 1.3" (1σ)
ML event @ 0.5"

 $L_x \sim 4 \times 10^{30} (d / 1 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ erg/s}$

Hardness ratios suggest hard (kT > 1 keV) or heavily absorbed spectrum;

A black hole as accreting object

Energy Band	Flux	Hardness ratio
[keV]	$[\times 10^{-14} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$	
0.2 - 0.5	0.002 ± 0.001	0.982 ± 0.268
0.5 - 1.0	0.034 ± 0.027	0.738 ± 0.141
1.0-2.0	0.298 ± 0.050	0.132 ± 0.100
2.0-4.5	1.20 ± 0.146	-0.356 ± 0.157
4.5-12	1.73 ± 0.762	
	\downarrow	

 $HR_{i} = (CR_{i+1} - CR_{i}) / (CR_{i+1} + CR_{i})$

The lensing event: OGLE 2004-BLG-81



FIT PARAMETERS: $Io = 17.058 \pm 0.001$ $tau = 103.630 \pm 0.157$ days $Amax = 6.193 \pm 0.008$

Fit inadequate Symmetric light-curve

The lensed star: OGLE BUL_SC36_636869



Variable star P = 3.96 days



Light curve suggests contact binary

Proposed models

- 1. RS Canum Venaticorum star (Bernhard 2009);
- 2. Cataclysmic variable (Wyrzykosky et al., 2006);



Flaring activity! Also X-ray sources!!

What scenario for OGLE 2004-BLG-81/2XMM J180540.5-273427?

• RS CVn star explains X-ray emission (log Lx = 30.36 ± 0.85) and ML event (flaring activity) but not symmetric light curve. Optical spectrum would show strong Ca II H and K lines (Padmakar et al. 2000);

• Cataclysmic variable explains X-ray emission and ML event (nova-like outburst) but not symmetric light curve and outburst timescale, nor orbital period (period gap, Kuulkers et al. 2003);

• Microlensing event explains symmetry , but not the shape, of light curve (Wyrzykowski et al. 2006). A different baseline?

• 2XMM J180540.5-273427 as accreting black hole would require low spatial velocity and/or high density of ISM and high emission efficiency;

Further observations needed in order to constrain the properties of the lensed star and the X-ray source

Conclusions

Large spatial velocities as key factor in determining the observability of isolated neutron stars and black holes...

- Evaporation from the Galaxy and Galactic disk;
- Very low accretion rates;

...favours their detectability through microlensing surveys

- Net increase in the number of ML events related to NS/BH;
- 40% of long duration events possibly related to NS/BH;
- Independent from emission properties;
- Precise localization of the object (vs blind searches);
- Large statistics already available;

A possible BH candidate from ML/X-ray cross-correlation

- First detection of isolated BH (with ML);
- Nature of ML event, lensed star and X-ray source still unclear;
- Further observations needed;

Future prospects

Enlargement of ML event catalogs;



OGLE IV (in operation), ~ 1000 events detected each year;

Deeper X-ray surveys;

eROSITA soft X-ray survey: $f_x^{lim} \sim 10^{-15} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2$



tighter constraints on X-ray emission from isolated neutron stars/black holes

Search for counterparts at other wavelengths (e.g. radio);

Follow-up observations of interesting ML events, based on duration and other secondary effects;



X-rays: spectrum of the NS/BH candidate (XMM, Chandra); Optical: image shift;

THANKS!



Code description - I

Distribution of progenitors:

- Radial distribution: (Paczynski 1990):
- $p(R) dR = a_R \frac{R}{R_{exp}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_{exp}}\right) dR$ • All INS born on the Galactic plane $(z_i = o);$
- Spiral arms structure (Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006):
- Constant birth rate (o < age < 10 Gyr);







Code description - II

Distributions of birth velocities:

$$p(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} v^2 \left[\frac{w}{\sigma_1^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{1-w}{\sigma_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right) \right]$$

$$p(v_i) = \frac{1}{2 v_{exp}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v_i|}{v_{exp}}\right)$$
$$p(v_i) = \frac{1}{\pi \gamma \left(1 + \left(\frac{v_i^2}{\gamma^2}\right)\right)}$$
$$p(v) = \frac{4}{\pi v_* \left(1 + \left(\frac{v_i^2}{\gamma^2}\right)^2\right)}$$

Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi (2006)

$$p(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{v^2}{\sigma^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Hobbs et al. (2005)



 $\mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{birth} + \mathbf{v}_{circ}(\mathbf{r}_{i})$

Birth velocities are in the Local Standar of Rest (LSR)



Code description - III

Galactic potential:

Two models 1) $R_o = 8.5 \text{ kpc}, v_{circ}(R_o) = 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, (IAU standard) 2) $R_o = 8.4 \text{ kpc}, v_{circ}(R_o) = 254 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Reid et al .2009)



Halo (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996)



Local density, $n_0 \sim 3.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ pc}^{-3} (\sim 20\% \text{ from bulge})$

Density @ Galactic Center ~ 0.12 pc^{-3} (~ 93% from bulge)









Light curve of SS Cygni in ourburst (Kuulkers et al. 2003)

